IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO.17665 of 1997

Between:

Siddhardha Constructions Pvt. Ltd., Rep.by its Managing Director,
N.Surender Babu, S/o.N.Janardhan Rao, R/o.47-15-13
Dwaraka Nagar, Visakhapatnam -16

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Superintending Engineer, Irrigation & Command Area

Development, Visakhapatnam.
2 The Superintending Engineer,
R&B, Guntur.
3 The Superintending Engineer
Panchayatra Circle, Guntur
4 The Superintending Engineer
R&B Vijayawada
5 The Superintending Engineer
R&B Visakhapatnam
6 The Superintending Engineer
Panchayatraj Circle, Vijayawada
7 The Superintending Engineer,
Panchayatraj Circle, Visakhapatnam.
8 The Deputy General Manager Commercial NTPC S.R.Head Quarters
2nd floor, MCH Complex, R.P.Road, Secunderabad

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus or any

other appropriate writ declaring the action of the respondents in not issuing the tender schedules of the contract works to the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary and unreasonable for consequentially direct the respondents to issue tender schedules of the contract works to the petitioner herein without insisting for production of commercial tax clearance certificate.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. C.H.SATISH KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEVELOPMENT.

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking to direct the respondents to issue tender schedules of contract works to the petitioner without insisting for production of Commercial Tax Clearance Certificate.

When the matter is taken up today, none appears for the petitioner as well as the respondents. By lapse of time the prayer in the writ petition has become infructuous.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 15.03.2005

Nsr

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Superintending Engineer, Irrigation & Command Area

Development, Visakhapatnam.
2 The Superintending Engineer,
R&B, Guntur.
3 The Superintending Engineer
Panchayatra Circle, Guntur
4 The Superintending Engineer
R&B Vijayawada

5 The Superintending Engineer
R&B Visakhapatnam
6 The Superintending Engineer
Panchayatraj Circle, Vijayawada
7 The Superintending Engineer,
Panchayatraj Circle, Visakhapatnam.
8 The Deputy General Manager Commercial NTPC S.R.Head Quarters
2nd floor, MCH Complex, R.P.Road, Secunderabad

9 Two CCs to GP for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, High Court

Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

10 Two CD copies